# 48A C.J.S. Judges § 222

Corpus Juris Secundum | August 2023 Update

### **Judges**

Joseph Bassano, J.D.; Khara Singer-Mack, J.D.; Thomas Muskus, J.D; Karl Oakes, J.D. and Jeffrey J. Shampo, J.D.

### VIII. Liabilities

#### A. General Considerations

§ 222. Penalties

Topic Summary | References | Correlation Table

## **West's Key Number Digest**

West's Key Number Digest, Judges 36

Under some statutes, provision is made for the recovery of a penalty from a judge for breach of specified duties.

Under some statutes, provision is made for the recovery of a penalty by the party aggrieved for a breach by the judge of specified duties<sup>1</sup> as, for example, where a judge exacts illegal fees.<sup>2</sup> It is no defense that the taking of such fees was due to mistake,<sup>3</sup> direction of the prosecuting attorney,<sup>4</sup> ignorance,<sup>5</sup> absence of a corrupt motive,<sup>6</sup> or the existence of an agreement by the party injured.<sup>7</sup>

Westlaw. © 2023 Thomson Reuters. No Claim to Orig. U.S. Govt. Works.

## Footnotes

- Ga.—National Sur. Corp. v. Gatlin, 192 Ga. 293, 15 S.E.2d 180 (1941).
- 2 Colo.—Cummings v. Aiken, 82 Colo. 391, 260 P. 524 (1927).

3	Neb.—Downey v. Coykendall, 89 Neb. 21, 130 N.W. 983 (1911).
4	Neb.—Downey v. Coykendall, 81 Neb. 648, 116 N.W. 503 (1908).
5	Neb.—Downey v. Coykendall, 89 Neb. 21, 130 N.W. 983 (1911).
6	Neb.—Downey v. Coykendall, 89 Neb. 21, 130 N.W. 983 (1911).
7	Neb.—Downey v. Coykendall, 89 Neb. 21, 130 N.W. 983 (1911).

**End of Document** 

© 2023 Thomson Reuters. No claim to original U.S. Government Works.